

COMMUNITY ALLIANCE OF SARASOTA COUNTY
Planning and Outcomes Committee

November 20, 2009 Meeting Summary

Present: Elmer Berkel, Human Services Advisory Council
Karen Bogues, Community Youth Development
Teresa Bowman, Aging in Place Action Team
Nancy DeLoach, Sarasota County Health and Human Services
Phil Gorelick, Jewish Family and Children's Services
Janet Kahn, Early Learning Coalition
Jamie Mahadeo, Sarasota County Health and Human Services

Karen Bogues welcomed the members. Self-introductions were made. The October 16, 2009 meeting summary was reviewed and approved without changes.

The following forms were reviewed:

Protective Factor Benchmarks by Cohort

- With the exception of 2004, the Community Rewards for Prosocial Involvement scores are very poor.
- With regard to the Family Domain, except for 6th grade (2005) the scores are all good.

Risk Factor Benchmarks by Cohort

- With regard to each cohort under Personal Transitions and Mobility, they are all tracking in the right direction even if the scores are high.
- Under Favorable Attitudes toward ATOD Use, the scores are tracking the right way once you get past 7th grade.

Discussion ensued regarding Personal Transitions and Mobility and how Sarasota County can impact that negative trend.

The charts are attached.

Aging in Place Action Team

Teresa Bowman explained that the Aging in Place Action Team is still working on outcome measures. They currently have 13 measures they are reviewing. From the 7 that they have already discussed with the committee, they have added:

- % of seniors that have problems with their independent activities of daily living
- % of seniors that are eligible for nursing home placement that have been diverted to assisted living or to home
- Number of confirmed calls of abuse, neglect or exploitation

COMMUNITY ALLIANCE OF SARASOTA COUNTY

Planning and Outcomes Committee

November 20, 2009 Meeting Summary

- Number of seniors who self report that they can't afford all or some of their medications
- % of seniors who self report being hopeless or sad for 7 of the last 30 days. (They are having trouble finding data for this one.)
- % of seniors who self report lack of transportation

Karen asked if the AIPAT could bring forward at least one measure for inclusion in the 2010 document. Teresa agreed to get this information back to the committee for January.

Questionnaire Response

We received one response to our request for data from the Community Health Improvement Partnership. This information was distributed to the committee members.

Karen asked if the committee wanted to pursue this path. The information received was interesting, but not necessarily on point for what the committee is trying to do. The committee decided not to pursue this effort further.

Karen suggested that the committee invite agencies like Healthy Start, CHIP, SCOPE, SCOSA, and the School Board to discuss community outcomes at the POC meetings. The committee would like them to talk about the most important outcomes they are tracking right now. It would also be helpful if they could review our existing measures and provide input to the committee.

Healthy Communities Network

Karen explained that the Healthy Communities Network was founded by the Healthy Communities Institute. Its mission is to improve the health and environmental sustainability of communities. They will accomplish this by providing information systems that improve indicator tracking and share best practices. They identify four determinants of health: medical care (10%), genetics (20%), environment (20%), and life style (50%).

They have predetermined questions that will be asked across the country but individual communities may have some small input into that list. Karen suggested that the committee select one of the communities that is implementing this process and review their website – healthysonoma.org; healthysanbernadinocounty.org; healthymarin.org.

The County is considering using this for community involvement purposes. They are seeking other communities in Florida who are interested in participating. This is an initial inquiry. The answers to many questions are yet unknown, particularly as relates to the efforts of the POC, CHIP, SCOPE, etc.

COMMUNITY ALLIANCE OF SARASOTA COUNTY

Planning and Outcomes Committee

November 20, 2009 Meeting Summary

Next Meeting

The next meeting of the Planning and Outcomes Committee is scheduled for March 19 at 11:00 a.m. at the Health and Human Services Building, 2200 Ringling Boulevard in the Auditorium.

Attachments

2008 Protective Factors by School		Booker High		North Port High		Pine View		Riverview High		Sarasota High		Venice High		
		9th	11th	9th	11th	9th	11th	9th	11th	9th	11th	9th	11th	
PROTECTIVE	Comm.	Community Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	30	40	32	36	50	37	50	35	41	43	40	48
	Family	Family Attachment	42	49	47	39	58	53	55	54	51	54	53	58
		Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement	44	50	51	42	59	57	58	51	54	56	50	58
		Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	41	52	47	42	60	59	56	53	52	51	55	60
	School	School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement	52	31	41	42	45	50	48	37	41	48	44	37
		School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	52	37	38	42	63	65	44	41	52	47	41	41
	Peer & Individual	Religiosity	42	49	51	42	37	38	49	38	44	41	37	52
		Social Skills	55	44	51	57	71	66	55	44	49	54	39	47
		Belief in the Moral Order	58	52	50	57	65	59	57	51	54	59	43	55

■ Scores in dark green = scores that are 1 or more standard deviation from the national norm in the desirable direction
■ Scores in red = scores that are 1 or more standard deviation from the national norm in the undesirable direction

COMMUNITY ALLIANCE OF SARASOTA COUNTY

Planning and Outcomes Committee

November 20, 2009 Meeting Summary

2008 Risk Factors by School		Booker High		North Port High		Pine View		Riverview High		Sarasota High		Venice High		
		9th	11th	9th	11th	9th	11th	9th	11th	9th	11th	9th	11th	
RISK	Community	Low Neighborhood Attachment	59	50	65	62	44	49	47	54	50	45	52	51
	Community Disorganization	55	75	67	56	39	45	44	55	51	47	51	51	
	Personal Transitions and Mobility	71	74	77	66	36	48	68	63	65	60	74	64	
	Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use	51	54	61	50	38	40	46	55	56	49	49	52	
	Laws and Norms Favorable to Handguns	59	61	47	53	33	39	45	39	52	48	47	42	
	Perceived Availability of Drugs	52	55	56	47	37	36	50	55	53	49	62	53	
	Perceived Availability of Handguns	44	67	46	41	54	40	48	47	49	51	49	45	
Family	Poor Family Management	59	59	56	61	35	41	47	52	58	54	53	51	
	Family Conflict	55	49	42	45	50	52	54	58	52	53	61	51	
	Family History of Antisocial Behavior	57	63	54	61	31	43	52	55	47	53	62	62	
	Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward ATOD Use	47	54	55	69	39	46	48	61	51	53	55	56	
	Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Antisocial Behavior	45	53	60	71	43	41	53	62	50	50	60	53	
School	Poor Academic Performance	37	53	39	39	24	39	41	50	37	33	39	50	
	Lack of Commitment to School	38	49	56	46	38	42	50	55	50	40	59	59	
Peer and Individual	Rebelliousness	46	47	59	43	37	43	46	52	49	44	66	42	
	Friends Delinquent Behavior	53	57	52	50	33	38	50	57	50	48	50	52	
	Friends Use of Drugs	53	45	58	47	29	47	49	58	48	52	60	56	
	Peer Rewards for Antisocial Behavior	52	46	60	48	42	54	56	58	55	57	66	57	
	Favorable Attitudes toward Antisocial Behavior	45	52	54	40	34	46	47	58	48	48	61	47	
	Favorable Attitudes toward ATOD Use	51	43	49	36	31	49	42	53	44	42	56	45	
	Low Perceived Risks of Drug Use	57	58	41	57	28	53	49	59	54	48	57	55	
	Early Initiation of Drug Use and Antisocial Behavior	41	47	46	42	24	36	47	48	42	40	53	51	
	Sensation Seeking	51	44	49	45	33	42	49	61	46	43	56	48	

■ Scores in dark green = scores that are 1 or more standard deviation from the national norm in the desirable direction
■ Scores in red = scores that are 1 or more standard deviation from the national norm in the undesirable direction

COMMUNITY ALLIANCE OF SARASOTA COUNTY

Planning and Outcomes Committee

November 20, 2009 Meeting Summary

PROTECTIVE FACTOR BENCHMARKS FOR MIDDLE AND HIGH SCHOOL YOUTH IN SARASOTA COUNTY BY COHORT

PROTECTIVE FACTOR SCALE SCORES											
Scale	6th	8th	9th	11th	6th	8th	9th	8th	9th	11th	National Norm
	2003	2005	2006	2008	2005	2007	2008	2003	2004	2006	
Community Domain											
Community Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	44	43	41	41	45	37	42	38	48	38	50
Family Domain											
Family Attachment	51	49	49	54	45	49	52	48	48	51	50
Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement	51	49	48	54	44	48	54	47	46	51	50
Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	52	49	49	54	45	50	53	48	48	53	50
School Domain											
School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement	48	43	41	42	47	41	45	39	47	43	50
School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	48	39	46	45	37	45	46	43	47	45	50
Peer & Individual Domain											
Religiosity	41	45	43	43	39	41	44	41	44	41	50
Social Skills	51	48	46	52	47	47	51	45	49	51	50
Belief in the Moral Order	53	48	54	56	52	49	53	52	46	58	50

- Scores in dark green = scores that are 1 or more standard deviation from the national norm in the desirable direction
- Scores in light green = movement of 1 or more standard deviation in the desirable direction
- Scores in yellow = movement of 1 or more standard deviation in the undesirable direction
- Scores in red = scores that are 1 or more standard deviation from the national norm in the undesirable direction

COMMUNITY ALLIANCE OF SARASOTA COUNTY

Planning and Outcomes Committee

November 20, 2009 Meeting Summary

RISK FACTOR BENCHMARKS FOR MIDDLE AND HIGH SCHOOL YOUTH IN SARASOTA COUNTY BY COHORT

RISK FACTOR SCALE SCORES											
Scale	6th	8th	9th	11th	6th	8th	9th	8th	9th	11th	National Norm
	2003	2005	2006	2008	2005	2007	2008	2003	2004	2006	
Community Domain											
Low Neighborhood Attachment	50	54	55	50	52	57	51	55	52	54	50
Community Disorganization	47	49	47	52	48	51	50	49	40	50	50
Personal Transitions and Mobility	71	67	69	62	70	65	67	70	66	65	50
Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use	52	51	48	50	51	54	50	53	46	45	50
Laws and Norms Favorable to Handguns	47	46	46	46	47	46	47	48	49	47	50
Perceived Availability of Drugs	52	49	47	49	52	52	53	51	48	46	50
Perceived Availability of Handguns	52	50	49	48	52	50	48	50	48	45	50
Family Domain											
Poor Family Management	46	51	51	52	50	51	52	52	53	50	50
Family Conflict	53	57	56	52	57	55	54	56	54	57	50
Family History of Antisocial Behavior	53	55	53	56	54	55	52	52	51	53	50
Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward ATOD Use	49	48	53	55	47	52	50	53	50	50	50
Parental Attitudes Favorable Toward Antisocial Behavior	50	54	54	53	54	54	53	52	51	50	50

- Scores in dark green = scores that are 1 or more standard deviation from the national norm in the desirable direction
- Scores in light green = movement of 1 or more standard deviation in the desirable direction
- Scores in yellow = movement of 1 or more standard deviation in the undesirable direction
- Scores in red = scores that are 1 or more standard deviation from the national norm in the undesirable direction

COMMUNITY ALLIANCE OF SARASOTA COUNTY

Planning and Outcomes Committee

November 20, 2009 Meeting Summary

RISK FACTOR BENCHMARKS FOR MIDDLE AND HIGH SCHOOL YOUTH IN SARASOTA COUNTY BY COHORT

RISK FACTOR SCALE SCORES											
Scale	6th	8th	9th	11th	6th	8th	9th	8th	9th	11th	National Norm
	2003	2005	2006	2008	2005	2007	2008	2003	2004	2006	
School Domain											
Poor Academic Performance	46	49	47	42	45	46	37	49	44	45	50
Lack of Commitment to School	53	57	51	48	61	55	51	55	53	46	50
Peer & Individual Domain											
Rebelliousness	47	51	53	45	51	54	52	50	52	47	50
Friends Delinquent Behavior	49	63	52	50	57	55	49	53	49	47	50
Friends Use of Drugs	50	60	49	52	56	53	51	51	52	45	50
Peer Rewards for Antisocial Behavior	52	51	54	54	50	58	57	54	52	53	50
Favorable Attitudes toward Antisocial Behavior	50	55	52	48	54	54	50	52	60	46	50
Favorable Attitudes toward ATOD Use	48	50	46	44	48	50	46	49	54	41	50
Low Perceived Risks of Drug Use	47	50	50	54	47	49	50	48	49	50	50
Early Initiation of Drug Use and Antisocial Behavior	47	49	49	44	49	49	45	52	51	47	50
Sensation Seeking	49	44	50	47	49	52	49	47	53	44	50

- Scores in dark green = scores that are 1 or more standard deviation from the national norm in the desirable direction
- Scores in light green = movement of 1 or more standard deviation in the desirable direction
- Scores in yellow = movement of 1 or more standard deviation in the undesirable direction
- Scores in red = scores that are 1 or more standard deviation from the national norm in the undesirable direction